

PALEONTOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT FOR THE TIPPECANOE PROJECT

CITY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

APNs 278-191-02, -17, -25, and -28

Prepared on Behalf of:


EPD Solutions, Inc.
2 Park Plaza, Suite 1120
Irvine, California 92614

Prepared for:

City of San Bernardino
290 North D Street
San Bernardino, California 92401

Prepared by:

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road, Suite A
Poway, California 92064



January 10, 2022

Paleontological Database Information

Author: Todd A. Wirths, M.S., Senior Paleontologist, California
Professional Geologist No. 7588

Consulting Firm: Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road, Suite A
Poway, California 92064
(858) 679-8218

Report Date: January 10, 2022

Report Title: Paleontological Assessment for the Tippecanoe Project, City of
San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California (APNs 278-
191-02, -17, -25, and -28)

Prepared on Behalf of: EPD Solutions
2 Park Plaza, Suite 1120
Irvine, California 92614

Prepared for: City of San Bernardino
290 North D Street
San Bernardino, California 92401

Prepared by: Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.
14010 Poway Road, Suite A
Poway, California 92064

Assessor's Parcel Numbers: 278-191-02, -17, -25, and -28

USGS Quadrangle: Unsectioned Township 1 South, Range 4 West, *San Bernardino
South, California* 7.5' Quadrangle.

Study Area: 14.42 acres

Key Words: Paleontological assessment; Holocene young axial-channel fan
deposits; low sensitivity; City of San Bernardino; monitoring is
not recommended.

Table of Contents

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND LOCATION.....	1
II. REGULATORY SETTING	1
<i>State of California</i>	1
<i>City of San Bernardino</i>	4
III. GEOLOGY	4
IV. PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES.....	6
<i>Definition</i>	6
<i>Fossil Locality Search</i>	6
V. PALEONTOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY.....	6
<i>Overview</i>	6
<i>Professional Standards</i>	7
VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	7
<i>Paleontological MMRP</i>	7
VII. CERTIFICATION.....	10
VIII. REFERENCES.....	10

Appendices

Appendix A – Qualifications of Key Personnel

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1 General Location Map	2
Figure 2 Project Location Map.....	3
Figure 3 Geologic Map.....	5

I. INTRODUCTION AND LOCATION

A paleontological resource assessment has been completed for the Tippecanoe Project, located at the southwest corner of the intersection of 9th Street and Tippecanoe Avenue in the city of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California (Figures 1 and 2). The 14.42-acre project consists of four parcels, identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 278-191-02, -17, -25, and -28. On the United States Geological Survey 7.5-minute, 1:24,000-scale *San Bernardino South, California* topographic quadrangle map, the project is located in an unsectioned area of Township 1 South, Range 4 West, of the San Bernardino Baseline and Meridian (Figure 2). The project property is being considered for redevelopment. Currently, the project property is vacant, with the remnant foundation of a demolished small structure present in the southeast corner.

As the lead agency, the City of San Bernardino has required the preparation of a paleontological assessment to evaluate the project's potential to yield paleontological resources. The paleontological assessment of the project included a review of paleontological literature and fossil locality records for a previous project in the area; a review of the underlying geology; and recommendations to mitigate impacts to potential paleontological resources, if necessary.

II. REGULATORY SETTING

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), which is patterned after the National Environmental Policy Act, is the overriding environmental regulation that sets the requirement for protecting California's paleontological resources. CEQA mandates that governing permitting agencies (lead agencies) set their own guidelines for the protection of nonrenewable paleontological resources under their jurisdiction.

State of California

Under "Guidelines for Implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act," as amended in December 2018 (California Code of Regulations [CCR] Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3, Sections 15000 et seq.), procedures define the types of activities, persons, and public agencies required to comply with CEQA. Section 15063 of the CCR provides a process by which a lead agency may review a project's potential impact to the environment, whether the impacts are significant, and provide recommendations, if necessary.




Figure 1
General Location Map
 The Tippecanoe Project
 DeLorme (1:250,000)






Figure 2
Project Location Map
 The Tippecanoe Project

USGS San Bernardino South, San Bernardino North,
 Harrison Mountain and Redlands Quadrangles (7.5-minute series)



In CEQA's Environmental Checklist Form, one of the questions to answer is, "Would the project directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?" (Appendix G, Section VII, Part f). This is to ensure compliance with California Public Resources Code Section 5097.5, the law that protects nonrenewable resources, including fossils, which is paraphrased below:


- a) A person shall not knowingly and willfully excavate upon, or remove, destroy, injure or deface any historic or prehistoric ruins, burial grounds, archaeological or vertebrate paleontological site, including fossilized footprints, inscriptions made by human agency, rock art, or any other archaeological, paleontological or historical feature, situated on public lands, except with the express permission of the public agency having jurisdiction over such lands.
- b) As used in this section, "public lands" means lands owned by, or under the jurisdiction of, the state, or any city, county, district, authority, or public corporation, or any agency thereof.
- c) A violation of this section is a misdemeanor.

City of San Bernardino

The City of San Bernardino does not have goals or policies related to paleontological resources in their General Plan (City of San Bernardino 2005). However, the City recognizes that paleontological resources are "important assets for City residents," under CCCI-2, Historic Structures, Districts and the Depot (City of San Bernardino 2005: Appendix 7: 6).

III. GEOLOGY

The Tippecanoe Project lies within the broad, fault-bounded alluvial valley of the Santa Ana Wash between the San Bernardino Mountains to the north and the San Timoteo Badlands to the south (Morton and Miller 2006). The project is just south of the Warm Creek flood control channel, a tributary to the Santa Ana River. Stratigraphically, the project overlies late Holocene-aged young axial-valley deposits, Unit 4 (labeled as "Qya4" on Figure 3). These sedimentary deposits are characterized as fine to coarse-grained sands and pebbly sands that coarsen eastward. Active wash deposits of unconsolidated sand and gravel characterize the historic path of Warm Creek (labeled as "Qw" on Figure 3).



Legend

- Project Boundary
- Geologic Units**
- Late Holocene**

 - Qw Very young wash deposits
 - Qw₁ Very young wash deposits, Unit 1
 - Qyf₅ Young alluvial-fan deposits, Unit 5
 - Qyf₄ Young alluvial-fan deposits, Unit 4
 - Qya₅ Young axial-channel deposits, Unit 5
 - Qya₄ Young axial-channel deposits, Unit 4

- Middle Holocene**

 - Qyf₃ Young alluvial-fan deposits, Unit 3
 - Qya₃ Young axial-channel deposits, Unit 3

- Early Holocene and late Pleistocene**

 - Qya₁ Young axial-channel deposits, Unit 1

- Late to middle Pleistocene**

 - Qof₃ Old alluvial-fan deposits, Unit 3

- Mesozoic**

 - Kpu Pelona Schist, undifferentiated

Figure 3
Geologic Map

The Tippecanoe Project

Geology after Morton and Miller (2006)



IV. PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Definition

Paleontological resources are the remains of prehistoric life that have been preserved in geologic strata. These remains are called fossils and include bones, shells, teeth, and plant remains (including their impressions, casts, and molds) in the sedimentary matrix, as well as trace fossils such as footprints and burrows. Fossils are considered older than 5,000 years of age (Society of Vertebrate Paleontology [SVP] 2010) but may include younger remains (subfossils) when viewed in the context of local extinction of the organism or habitat, for example. Fossils are considered a nonrenewable resource under state and local guidelines (see Section II).

Fossil Locality Search

A paleontological literature review and collections and locality records search was conducted for the project using records obtained from prior projects at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. from the Division of Geological Sciences at the San Bernardino County Museum (SBCM), the Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, the Western Science Center in Hemet, and data from published and unpublished paleontological literature (Jefferson 1986, 1991, 2009). The resulting locality records search did not identify any previously recorded fossil localities from within the boundaries of the project, nor within several miles of the project. The closest-known fossil localities are located in Fontana and Calimesa, according to SBCM records.

V. PALEONTOLOGICAL SENSITIVITY

Overview

The degree of paleontological sensitivity of any particular area is based on a number of factors, including the documented presence of fossiliferous resources on a site or in nearby areas, the presence of documented fossils within a particular geologic formation or lithostratigraphic unit, and whether or not the original depositional environment of the sediments is one that might have been conducive to the accumulation of organic remains that might have become fossilized over time. Holocene alluvium is generally considered to be geologically too young to contain significant nonrenewable paleontological resources (*i.e.*, fossils) and is thus typically assigned a low paleontological sensitivity. Pleistocene (over 11,700 years old) alluvial and alluvial fan deposits in the Inland Empire, however, often yield important terrestrial vertebrate fossils, such as extinct mammoths, mastodons, giant ground sloths, extinct species of horse, bison, camel, saber-toothed cats, and others (Jefferson 1991). These Pleistocene sediments are thus accorded a high paleontological resource sensitivity.

Professional Standards

The SVP (2010) has drafted guidelines that include four categories of paleontological sensitivity for geologic units (formations) that might be impacted by a proposed project, as listed below:

- **High Potential:** Rock units from which vertebrate or significant invertebrate, plant, or trace fossils have been recovered.
- **Undetermined Potential:** Rock units for which little information is available concerning their paleontological content, geologic age, and depositional environment, and that further study is needed to determine the potential of the rock unit.
- **Low Potential:** Rock units that are poorly represented by fossil specimens in institutional collections or based on a general scientific consensus that only preserve fossils in rare circumstances.
- **No Potential:** Rock units that have no potential to contain significant paleontological resources, such as high-grade metamorphic rocks and plutonic igneous rocks.

Using these criteria, based on the young geologic age of the sediments mapped at the project and the lack of nearby significant fossil localities, the Holocene young axial-valley deposits can be considered to have a low potential to yield significant paleontological resources.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The existence of late Holocene axial-valley deposits at the project, and the lack of any known fossil specimens or fossil localities from within a several-mile radius encompassing the subject property support the recommendation that paleontological monitoring need *not* be required during earth disturbance activities at the Tippecanoe Project. However, if fossils of any sort are discovered during grading and earthmoving activities, a paleontologist must be retained to develop a paleontological Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) consistent with the provisions of CEQA and those of the guidelines of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (2010). Implementation of the paleontological MMRP would mitigate any adverse impacts (loss or destruction) to potential nonrenewable paleontological resources, if present, to a level below significant.

Paleontological MMRP

The following MMRP guidelines, outlined below, are based on the findings stated above. Paleontological monitoring may be reduced on the observations and recommendations of the professional-level project paleontologist. The following MMRP, when implemented, would reduce potential impacts of paleontological resources to a level below significant:

1. If paleontological resources are discovered during earth disturbance activities, the discovery shall be cordoned off with a 100-foot radius buffer so as to protect the discovery from further potential damage, and a county or city-qualified paleontologist shall be consulted to assess the discovery.

If the discovery is determined to be significant by the paleontologist, an MMRP shall be initiated, which will include notification of appropriate personnel involved and monitoring of earth disturbance activities:

1. Monitoring of mass grading and excavation activities in areas identified as likely to contain paleontological resources shall be performed by a qualified paleontologist or paleontological monitor. Monitoring will be conducted full-time in areas of grading or excavation in undisturbed sedimentary deposits.
2. Paleontological monitors will be equipped to salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid construction delays. The monitor must be empowered to temporarily halt or divert equipment to allow removal of abundant or large specimens in a timely manner. Monitoring may be reduced if the potentially fossiliferous units are not present in the subsurface, or, if present, are determined on exposure and examination by qualified paleontological personnel to have low potential to contain fossil resources. The monitor shall notify the project paleontologist, who will then notify the concerned parties of the discovery.
3. Paleontological salvage during trenching and boring activities is typically from the generated spoils and does not delay the trenching or drilling activities. Fossils are collected and placed in cardboard flats or plastic buckets and identified by field number, collector, and date collected. Notes are taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which is photographed before it is vacated, and the fossils are removed to a safe place. On mass grading projects, discovered fossil sites are protected by flagging to prevent them from being overrun by earthmovers (scrapers) before salvage begins. Fossils are collected in a similar manner, with notes and photographs being taken before removing the fossils. Precise location of the site is determined with the use of handheld GPS units. If the site involves remains from a large terrestrial vertebrate, such as large bone(s) or a mammoth tusk, that is/are too large to be easily removed by a single monitor, a fossil recovery crew shall excavate around the find, encase the find within a plaster and burlap jacket, and remove it after the plaster is set. For large fossils, use of the contractor's construction equipment may be solicited to help remove the jacket to a safe location.
4. Isolated fossils are collected by hand, wrapped in paper, and placed in temporary collecting flats or five-gallon buckets. Notes are taken on the map location and stratigraphy of the site, which is photographed before it is vacated, and the fossils are


- removed to a safe place.
5. Particularly small invertebrate fossils typically represent multiple specimens of a limited number of organisms, and a scientifically suitable sample can be obtained from one to several five-gallon buckets of fossiliferous sediment. If it is possible to dry screen the sediment in the field, a concentrated sample may consist of one or two buckets of material. For vertebrate fossils, the test is usually the observed presence of small pieces of bones within the sediments. If present, as many as 20 to 40 five-gallon buckets of sediment can be collected and returned to a separate facility to wet-screen the sediment.
 6. In accordance with the “Microfossil Salvage” section of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology guidelines (2010:7), bulk sampling and screening of fine-grained sedimentary deposits (including carbonate-rich paleosols) must be performed if the deposits are identified to possess indications of producing fossil “microvertebrates” to test the feasibility of the deposit to yield fossil bones and teeth.
 7. In the laboratory, individual fossils are cleaned of extraneous matrix, any breaks are repaired, and the specimen, if needed, is stabilized by soaking in an archivally approved acrylic hardener (*e.g.*, a solution of acetone and Paraloid B-72).
 8. Recovered specimens are prepared to a point of identification and permanent preservation (not display), including screen-washing sediments to recover small invertebrates and vertebrates. Preparation of individual vertebrate fossils is often more time-consuming than for accumulations of invertebrate fossils.
 9. Identification and curation of specimens into a professional, accredited public museum repository with a commitment to archival conservation and permanent retrievable storage (*e.g.*, the San Bernardino County Museum) shall be conducted. The paleontological program should include a written repository agreement prior to the initiation of mitigation activities. Prior to curation, the lead agency (*e.g.*, the City of San Bernardino) will be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil material.
 10. A final report of findings and significance will be prepared, including lists of all fossils recovered and necessary maps and graphics to accurately record their original location(s). The report, when submitted to, and accepted by, the appropriate lead agency, will signify satisfactory completion of the project program to mitigate impacts to any potential nonrenewable paleontological resources (*i.e.*, fossils) that might have been lost or otherwise adversely affected without such a program in place.

VII. CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this paleontological report, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, and have been compiled in accordance with CEQA criteria.



Todd A. Wirths
Senior Paleontologist
California Professional Geologist No. 7588



January 10, 2022

Date

VIII. REFERENCES

- City of San Bernardino. 2005. San Bernardino General Plan, Appendix 7: Issues Report; <https://www.sbcity.org/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?blobid=26199>.
- Jefferson, G.T. 1986. Fossil vertebrates from the late Pleistocene sedimentary deposits in the San Bernardino and Little San Bernardino Mountains region, *in*, Kooser, M.A., and Reynolds, R.E., eds., *Geology around the margins of the eastern san Bernardino Mountains*. Publications of the Inland Geological Society, v. 1, Redlands, California.
- Jefferson, G.T. 1991. A catalogue of late Quaternary vertebrates from California: Part two, mammals. Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Technical Reports, no. 7: i-v + 1-129.
- Jefferson, G.T. 2009. A catalogue of Blancan and Irvingtonian vertebrates and floras from Arizona, southern California, Nevada, Utah, and northwestern Mexico. Unpublished draft manuscript, Colorado Desert District Stout Research Center, Anza-Borrego Desert State Park, Borrego Springs, California. Dated March 11, 2009.
- Morton, D.M. and Miller, F.K. 2006. Geologic map of the San Bernardino and Santa Ana 30' x 60' quadrangles, California: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 06-1217, scale 1:100,000.
- Society of Vertebrate Paleontology. 2010. Standard procedures for the assessment and mitigation of adverse impacts to paleontological resources; by the SVP Impact Mitigation Guidelines Revision Committee: https://vertpaleo.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/SVP_Impact_Mitigation_Guidelines-1.pdf

APPENDIX A

Qualifications of Key Personnel

Todd A. Wirths, MS, PG No. 7588

Senior Paleontologist

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc.

14010 Poway Road • Suite A •

Phone: (858) 679-8218 • Fax: (858) 679-9896 • E-Mail: twirths@bfsa-ca.com



Education

Master of Science, Geological Sciences, San Diego State University, California 1995

Bachelor of Arts, Earth Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz 1992

Professional Certifications

California Professional Geologist #7588, 2003

Riverside County Approved Paleontologist

San Diego County Qualified Paleontologist

Orange County Certified Paleontologist

OSHA HAZWOPER 40-hour trained; current 8-hour annual refresher

Professional Memberships

Board member, San Diego Geological Society

San Diego Association of Geologists; past President (2012) and Vice President (2011)

South Coast Geological Society

Southern California Paleontological Society

Experience

Mr. Wirths has more than a dozen years of professional experience as a senior-level paleontologist throughout southern California. He is also a certified California Professional Geologist. At BFSa, Mr. Wirths conducts on-site paleontological monitoring, trains and supervises junior staff, and performs all research and reporting duties for locations throughout Los Angeles, Ventura, San Bernardino, Riverside, Orange, San Diego, and Imperial Counties. Mr. Wirths was formerly a senior project manager conducting environmental investigations and remediation projects for petroleum hydrocarbon-impacted sites across southern California.

Selected Recent Reports

2019 *Paleontological Assessment for the 10575 Foothill Boulevard Project, City of Rancho Cucamonga, San Bernardino County, California.* Prepared for T&B Planning, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.

2019 *Paleontological Assessment for the MorningStar Marguerite Project, Mission Viejo, Orange County, California.* Prepared for T&B Planning. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.

- 2019 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Nimitz Crossing Project, City of San Diego.* Prepared for Voltaire 24, LP. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2019 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP) for the Jack Rabbit Trail Logistics Center Project, City of Beaumont, Riverside County, California.* Prepared for JRT BP 1, LLC. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Oceanside Beachfront Resort Project, Oceanside, San California.* Prepared for S.D. Malkin Properties. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program for the Nakase Project, Lake Forest, Orange County, San California.* Prepared for Glenn Lukos Associates, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program for the Sunset Crossroads Project, Banning, Riverside County.* Prepared for NP Banning Industrial, LLC. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Assessment for the Ortega Plaza Project, Lake Elsinore, Riverside County.* Prepared for Empire Design Group. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Resource Record Search Update for the Green River Ranch III Project, Green River Ranch Specific Plan SP00-001, City of Corona, California.* Prepared for Western Realco. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Assessment for the Cypress/Slover Industrial Center Project, City of Fontana, San Bernardino County, California.* Prepared for T&B Planning, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2020 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Imperial Landfill Expansion Project (Phase VI, Segment C-2), Imperial County, California.* Prepared for Republic Services, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Assessment for the Manitou Court Logistics Center Project, City of Jurupa Valley, Riverside County, California.* Prepared for Link Industrial. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program for the Del Oro (Tract 36852) Project, Menifee, Riverside County.* Prepared for D.R. Horton. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Assessment for the Alessandro Corporate Center Project (Planning Case PR-2020-000519), City of Riverside, Riverside County, California.* Prepared for OZI Alessandro, LLC. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.
- 2021 *Paleontological Monitoring Report for the Boardwalk Project, La Jolla, City of San Diego.* Prepared for Project Management Advisors, Inc. Report on file at Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Poway, California.